

индекс 3624

Г.К.САВВИДИ

ИНФРАКРАСНАЯ НЕУСТОЙЧИВОСТЬ ВАКУУМНОГО
СОСТОЯНИЯ КАЛИБРОВОЧНОЙ ТЕОРИИ
И АСИМПТОТИЧЕСКАЯ СВОБОДА

(на английском языке)

Ереванский физический институт

Тех. редактор А.С. Абрамян

Заказ 844

ВФ- 03143

Тираж 299

Подписано к печати 11/Ш-77г. Формат издания 30х40

0,5 уч. изд.л. Ц. 4 к.

Издано Отделом научно-технической информации
Ереванского физического института, Ереван-36, пер. Мар-
каряна 2

ԵՐԵՎԱՆԻ ՖԻԶԻԿԱԿԱՆ ԻՆՏԻՏՈՒՏ
ЕРЕВАНСКИЙ ФИЗИЧЕСКИЙ ИНСТИТУТ

ԳԻՏԱԿԱՆ ՀԱՂՈՐԴՈՒՄ ՆԱՍԿՈՒՄ
НАУЧНОЕ СООБЩЕНИЕ

ЕФИ-214(6)-77

G.K. SAVVIDY

INFRARED INSTABILITY OF THE
VACUUM STATE OF GAUGE THEORIES
AND ASYMPTOTIC FREEDOM



YEREVAN PHYSICS INSTITUTE

Scientific Report ЕФН-214(6)-77

G.K. SAVVIDY

INFRARED INSTABILITY OF THE
VACUUM STATE OF GAUGE THEORIES
AND ASYMPTOTIC FREEDOM

Yerevan, 1977

Ереванский Физический
ИНСТИТУТ
Зал преправтов

D.J.Gross, F.Wilczek and H.D.Politzer have found that non-Abelian gauge theories are "asymptotically free" [1]. Physical application of this discovery needs a solution of the problems connected first with infrared singularities of this theory and, secondly, with quark confinement. A number of authors consider the solution of the infrared problem to be a natural explanation of quark confinement.

It is shown in this paper that infrared singularities of Yang-Mills' massless theory cause the vacuum state instability. We come to this conclusion through analysis of one-loop correction to Yang-Mills classical action due to vacuum polarization. Such an instability during the interaction of the gauge fields and quarks seems to cause their confinement.

Let us consider the effective action Γ for a pure Yang-Mills theory.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \sum \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4x_1 \dots d^4x_n \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}^{(n) a_1 \dots a_n} A_{\mu_1}^{a_1} \dots A_{\mu_n}^{a_n} = \\ &= S_{Y.M.}^{cl} + W^{(1)} + W^{(2)} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where S_{YM}^{cl} is the classical action, $w^{(1)}$ is the one-loop correction and $w^{(2)}$ is the two-loop correction, etc. It is evident from the generalized Ward identities that the effective action is a gauge-invariant value for fields satisfying source-free fields equations

$$\nabla_{\mu}^{ab} G_{\mu\nu}^b = 0 \quad (2)$$

It is necessary to use expansion in powers of momenta instead of expansion in powers of field A. In position space such an expansion looks like:

$$\Gamma = \int d^4x \{ \bar{\mathcal{L}} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}} + \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} + \dots \} \quad (3)$$

From the standpoint of the gauge invariance it follows that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ function depends only on invariances $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a \cdot G_{\mu\nu}^a$ and $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a \cdot G_{\mu\nu}^{*a}$ but does not depend on covariant derivatives $G_{\mu\nu}^a$. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ function depends on invariant combinations composed by using one-step covariant derivative $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ etc. To calculate $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ function it is necessary to set renormalization condition. As in manifest covariant formulation of Yang-Mills theory used in this paper the counter term has the following universal form

$$Z \cdot S_{Y.M.}^{cl} \quad (4)$$

the usual renormalization conditions are

$$\left. \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \right|_{t=\ln(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mu^2})} = -1 \quad (5)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \mathcal{G}} \right|_{t=\ln(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mu^2})} = \mathcal{G} = 0$$

The function $-\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, in some sense, is analogous with Coleman-Weinberg effective potential [2] and represents the negative sum of all nonderivative (covariant) terms in the Lagrange density.

Let us consider a covariant constant field

$$\nabla_{\rho}^{ab} G_{\mu\nu}^b = 0 \quad (6)$$

for which $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \dots = 0$. The common gauge-invariant solution of equation (6) is $\hat{A}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} \times_{\nu} \hat{g}^{-1} S^{-1} \partial_{\mu} S$ where S is an arbitrary matrix in the adjoint representation of group. Having set the gauge condition $S^{-1} \partial_{\mu} S$ for SU(2) group we get [3]:

$$A_{\mu}^a = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \times_{\nu} \cdot n^a \quad (7)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ and n^a do not depend on X and $n^a n^a = 1$. Invariances \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} become equal to $\frac{1}{2} (\vec{H}^2 - \vec{E}^2)$ and $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{H}$ respectively, where \vec{E} and \vec{H} are defined in terms of $F_{\mu\nu}$ as it is the case in QED.

One-loop correction to classical action for the field (7) has the form [3].

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s^3} \frac{g f_1 s \cdot g f_2 s}{\text{sh}(g f_1 s) \sin(g f_2 s)} + \quad (8)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s^3} g f_1 s \cdot g f_2 s \left\{ \frac{\sin(g f_1 s)}{\text{sh}(g f_2 s)} - \frac{\sin(g f_2 s)}{\text{sh}(g f_1 s)} \right\}$$

where $f_1^2 = F + (S^2 + Y^2)^{1/2}$ and $f_2^2 = -F + (F^2 + Y^2)^{1/2}$
 In case of "magnetic" field $F > 0$, $Y = 0$ (8) is

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{S^3} \frac{gHs}{\text{sh}(gHs)} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{S^3} (gHs) \text{Sin}(gHs) \quad (9)$$

To renormalize (9) it is necessary to use (4) and (5). The result of the replacement of the variable S by $\frac{x}{\mu^2}$ will be:

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} = \mu^4 \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^3} \left\{ \frac{ax}{\text{sh}(ax)} - 1 - \frac{a^2 x}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\text{sh}x} - \frac{x \text{ch}x}{\text{sh}^2 x} \right) \right\} + \mu^4 \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^3} \left\{ ax \text{Sin}(ax) - \frac{a^2 x}{2} (\text{Sin}x + x \text{Cos}x) \right\} \quad (10)$$

wh. $a = \frac{H}{\mu^2}$. After the integration over x we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} = -\frac{11(gH)^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{H}{\mu^2}\right) + \frac{1}{22} \right] \quad (11)$$

Thus the energy density looks like

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{H^2}{2} + \frac{11(gH)^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{H}{\mu^2}\right) + \frac{1}{22} \right] \quad (12)$$

having its new minimum outside the point $H = 0$ - that is to say the one loop corrections have generated spontaneous symmetry breaking.

From the standpoint of renormalization group we have the following result [3]

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial F} = -\frac{g^2}{g^2} \quad (13)$$

where $\frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} = \bar{\beta}(\bar{g})$ In one-loop approximation the equation (13) represents the leading logarithmic term in (11) and, vice versa, it is possible to calculate the function $\bar{\beta}$ in one-loop approximation¹⁾. At the same time equation⁽¹³⁾ makes sure that multiloop (three or more) corrections will not change this situation²⁾.

In conclusion it can be noted that on the basis of the above effect it is possible to develop a phenomenological picture leading to quark confinement. Details will be given in the next paper.

The author would like to express his gratitude to S.G. Matinyan for his valuable critical comments, as well as to A.A. Belavin, V.N. Gribov and I.B. Khriplovich for useful discussions.

1) $\bar{\beta} = -\frac{g}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial F} \right)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$

2) It's easy to see from (13) and from the result obtained in (4), that two-loop correction doesn't change this situation.

Reference

1. D.J.Gross, F.Wilczek, Phys.Rev.Lett. 30, 1343, 1973.
H.D.Politzer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 30, 1346, 1973.
G. 't Hooft, unpublished.
2. S.Coleman, E.Weinberg. Phys.Rev. D1, 1888, 1973.
3. I.A.Batalin, S.G.Matinyan, G.K.Savvidy. Scientific Report
EPI-Yerevan 198(44)-76; Yad.Fiz., 25, N6, 1977
S.G.Matinyan, G.K.Savvidy. Scientific Report EPI-Yerevan-
172(18)-76.
4. W.E.Caswell, Phys.Rev.Lett., 33, 244, 1974.

The manuscript was received 21 January 1977

Ереванский Физический
ИНСТИТУТ
Зал препринтов