

ВФИ-708(23)-84

индекс 3624

---

ЦЕНТРАЛЬНЫЙ НАУЧНО-ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИЙ ИНСТИТУТ  
ИНФОРМАЦИИ И ТЕХНИКО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ

ПО АТОМНОЙ НАУКЕ И ТЕХНИКЕ

**ЕРЕВАНСКИЙ ФИЗИЧЕСКИЙ ИНСТИТУТ**

---

H.M.ASATRYAN, A.N.IOANNISYAN

PARTICLE MASSES AND MIXINGS IN  
GRAND UNIFIED MODEL  $SO(10)$

ЕРЕВАН-1984



ЕРЕВАНСКИЙ ФИЗИЧЕСКИЙ ИНСТИТУТ

As is known, the  $SO(10)$  grand unified theory [1] contains, as an electro-weak subgroup, the product  $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U(1)$  with a higher than in  $SU(5)$  [2] left-right symmetry. Therefore the introduction of several multiplets of Higgs bosons makes it possible to couple the mixing angles with fermion masses.

It is known that if only Higgs fields from the representation  $\underline{10}$  contribute to the fermion masses in the  $SO(10)$  scheme, then masses of charged leptons and down quarks become equal (for the energy  $\sim 10^{15}$  GeV), what agrees with experiment only for the third fermion generation. Therefore, as Higgs fields giving masses to fermions, we introduce, together with one field in the representation  $\underline{10}$ , one more Higgs field in the representation  $\underline{126}$ .

The introduction of several multiplets of Higgs fields may lead, generally speaking, to undesirable transitions with flavor nonconservation; however such transitions may be suppressed if all the Higgs doublets except one become superheavy [3,4].

An additional discrete symmetry we need for Yukawa couplings of fermions with Higgs fields leads to the mass relations for the three generations of



$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= s+p, & g &= \sigma + \pi, \\ \ell &= s-p, & v &= \sigma - \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

As one can see from (7)-(9), in our scheme the  $10$  Higgs field contributes only to the diagonal masses of fermions, and mixing between families occurs owing only to the  $126$  Higgs field contribution\*.

In the following, we shall assume all the matrices  $M_u, M_d, M_e$  real, i.e. neglect CP-violation.

Then, matrices (8) can be diagonalized by orthogonal transformation

$$M_f^0 = O_f \cdot M_f \cdot O_f^T, \quad f = u, d, e. \quad (10)$$

where  $M_f^0$  are diagonal matrices.

Matrices  $M_f$ , generally speaking, are not positively defined ones, therefore  $M_f^0$  involves masses of particles with arbitrary signs. Those signs lead to some uncertainty in our predictions.

From (8)-(10), one can arrive at the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 M_u^0 &= T_2 M_u = \kappa T_2 H \\ T_2 (M_u^0)^2 &= T_2 M_u^2 = \kappa^2 T_2 H^2 + \frac{1}{9} g^2 T_2 G^2 \\ T_2 (M_u^0)^3 &= T_2 M_u^3 = \kappa^3 T_2 H^3 + \frac{1}{3} g^2 \kappa T_2 (HG^2) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

\* Nondiagonal terms in H can be eliminated by the unitary transformation, the matrix G form being unchanged.

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 M_d^0 &= T_2 M_d = \ell T_2 H \\ T_2 (M_d^0)^2 &= T_2 M_d^2 = \ell^2 T_2 H^2 + \frac{1}{9} v^2 T_2 G^2 \\ T_2 (M_d^0)^3 &= T_2 M_d^3 = \ell^3 T_2 H^3 + \frac{1}{3} v^2 \ell T_2 (HG^2) \\ T_2 M_e^0 &= T_2 M_e = \ell T_2 H \\ T_2 (M_e^0)^2 &= T_2 M_e^2 = \ell^2 T_2 H^2 + v^2 T_2 G^2 \\ T_2 (M_e^0)^3 &= T_2 M_e^3 = \ell^3 T_2 H^3 + 3v^2 \ell T_2 (HG^2) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have obtained 9 equations with 9 unknowns, two of which are masses of  $t$  and  $b$  quarks.

All relations given here correspond to energies  $\sim 10^{15}$  GeV. They involve the particle masses which are related to the masses at our energies by the normalization coefficients [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_d(\mu)}{m_e(\mu)} &= \frac{m_d(M)}{m_e(M)} = \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha(M)} \right)^{\frac{4}{11-\frac{2}{3}f}} \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(M)} \right)^{\frac{3}{20}} \\ \frac{m_u(\mu)}{m_e(\mu)} &= \frac{m_u(M)}{m_e(M)} = \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha(M)} \right)^{\frac{4}{11-\frac{2}{3}f}} \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(M)} \right)^{\frac{21}{20}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $f$  is the number of quark flavors.

The energy of 1 GeV is chosen as a normalization point  $\mu$  for masses of  $u, d, s$  quarks. For the rest of quarks it is taken from the condition

$$\mu = 2 \cdot m(\mu) \quad (13)$$

From (11), there follows the relation

$$T_2 M_d^0 = T_2 M_e^0 \quad (14)$$

From this relation, with respect to stated above, one can define the mass of  $\bar{b}$ -quark. However, because of phases, we can get only the upper and lower limits for  $m_b$ . The result depends also on the parameter of quantum chromodynamics.

$$\begin{aligned} 4.6 \text{ GeV} < m_b < 5.5 \text{ GeV} & \text{ for } \Lambda^2 = 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2 \\ 4.1 \text{ GeV} < m_b < 5.0 \text{ GeV} & \text{ for } \Lambda^2 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

One can see that the mass of  $\bar{b}$  quark is within quite reasonable limits. Chosen by us form of interaction of fermions with Higgs fields allows to get additional relations.

Let us consider traces of products of different powers of matrices

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(M_u \cdot M_d) &= \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot T_2 H^2 - \frac{1}{g} \cdot \nu \cdot T_2 Q^2 \\ T_2(M_u \cdot M_d^2) &= \kappa \cdot \ell^2 \cdot T_2 H^3 + \left( \frac{\kappa \cdot \nu^2}{g} - \frac{2 \cdot g \cdot \ell \cdot \nu}{g} \right) T_2(HQ^2) \\ T_2(M_u^2 \cdot M_d) &= \kappa^2 \cdot \ell \cdot T_2 H^3 + \left( \frac{\ell \cdot g^2}{g} - \frac{2 \cdot g \cdot \kappa \cdot \nu}{g} \right) T_2(HQ^2) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

As is seen, these traces are expressed by the same quantities  $T_2 H^2$ ,  $T_2 H^3$ ,  $T_2 Q^2$ ,  $T_2(HQ^2)$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\ell$ ,  $g$ ,  $\nu$  entering into eqs.(12).

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(M_u \cdot M_d) &= T_2(O_d^T \cdot O_u \cdot M_u^0 \cdot O_u^T \cdot O_d \cdot M_d^0) = \\ &= T_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^0 \cdot K \cdot M_d^0) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$T_2(M_u \cdot M_d^2) = T_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^0 \cdot K \cdot M_d^{02})$$

$$T_2(M_u^2 \cdot M_d) = T_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^{02} \cdot K \cdot M_d^0)$$

where  $K$  is Kabayasha-Maskawa (KM) matrix.

Making use of (11), (16), (17) one can obtain relations which connect the mixing angles of KM matrix with the masses of six quarks and charged leptons:

$$T_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^0 \cdot K \cdot M_d^0) = \bar{z}_1 \cdot z_2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot z_3 \cdot z_8 \quad (18)$$

$$T_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^0 \cdot K \cdot M_d^{02}) = z_1^2 \cdot z_4 + \frac{z_5}{24} (2 \cdot z_3 \cdot z_8 - z_7)$$

$$\bar{T}_2(K^{-1} \cdot M_u^{02} \cdot K \cdot M_d^0) = z_1^2 \cdot z_4 + \frac{z_5}{24} (2 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_8 - z_7)$$

$$z_1 = \frac{\bar{T}_2 M_u^0}{\bar{T}_2 M_e^0}$$

$$z_2 = \frac{1}{8} (9 \bar{T}_2 (M_d^0)^2 - \bar{T}_2 (M_e^0)^2)$$

$$z_3 = \bar{T}_2 M_d^{02} = \bar{T}_2 M_e^{02}$$

$$z_4 = \frac{1}{8} (9 \cdot \bar{T}_2 M_d^{03} - \bar{T}_2 M_e^{03}) \quad (19)$$

$$z_5 = \bar{T}_2 M_d^{03} = \bar{T}_2 M_e^{03}$$

$$z_6 = T_2 M_u^{02}$$

$$z_7 = \frac{8}{z_9} [z_2 \cdot z_1^2 - z_6]$$

$$z_8 = \sqrt{z_7}$$

Similarly, one can obtain the relation for the other mixing angles connected with fermion currents with baryon number nonconservation.

To find out mixing angles in  $\bar{d}e$  current, consider traces of products of different powers of matrices  $M_e$ ,  $M_d$ , and introducing matrix  $K'$  with respect to their turn, in diagonalization we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(K'^{-1} \cdot M_d^0 \cdot K' \cdot M_e^0) &= \tau_2 + \frac{3}{8} \cdot \tau_3 \\ \text{Tr}(K'^{-1} \cdot M_d^0 \cdot K' \cdot M_e^{02}) &= \tau_4 - \frac{1}{6} \tau_5 \\ \text{Tr}(K'^{-1} \cdot M_d^{02} \cdot K' \cdot M_e^0) &= \tau_4 + \frac{5}{24} \tau_5 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Matrix  $K''$  which describes mixing in  $\bar{u}e$  current is expressed by  $K$  and  $K'$  :

$$K'' = K \cdot K' \quad (21)$$

From the derived relations (19), (21), (22) we can, in principle, express mixing angles in weak charged current, and also in currents related to proton decay via particle masses  $m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau, m_d, m_s, m_b, m_u, m_c, m_t$ .

From (12), one can obtain also a relation connecting the mass of  $t$ -quark with ones of the remaining quarks and charged leptons:

$$\begin{aligned} u^3 + c^3 + t^3 &= \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{u+c+t}{e+\mu+\tau} \right)^3 [g(d^3 + s^3 + b^3) - (e^3 + \mu^3 + \tau^3)] + \\ &+ \frac{u+c+t}{e+\mu+\tau} [u^2 + c^2 + t^2 - (u+c+t)^2] \times \\ &\times \frac{e^3 + \mu^3 + \tau^3 - (d^3 + s^3 + b^3)}{e^2 + \mu^2 + \tau^2 - (d^2 + s^2 + b^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here, it is assumed that relation (23) involves particle masses with arbitrary signs (+ and -), i.e.  $M_d^0, M_u^0, M_e^0$  involve only real quantities.

The solution of eqs.(18), (20), (22) yields the following results.

Mass renormalization depends on QCD dimensional parameter  $\Lambda$ . We shall consider two possibilities:  $\Lambda^2 = 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $\Lambda^2 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2$ . For each value of  $\Lambda$  we shall get a few solutions of eqs.(23) for  $m_t$ , and hence for mixing angles. Here we considered solutions for which  $m_t > 18.8 \text{ GeV}$ .

First, note, that there is no solution with  $m_t > 40 \text{ GeV}$  for  $\Lambda^2 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2$  and with  $m_t > 36.5 \text{ GeV}$  for  $\Lambda^2 = 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2$ , i.e. one may claim that the mass of  $t\bar{t}$  bound state is less than 80 GeV.

An interesting relation takes place between the mass of  $t$  quark and mixing angles in currents connected with the proton decay.

Namely, if

$$26.5 \text{ GeV} \leq m_t \leq 36.4 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for } \Lambda^2 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$28.6 \text{ GeV} \leq m_t \leq 39.3 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for } \Lambda^2 = 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2,$$

then mixing matrices in  $\bar{d}e$  and  $\bar{u}e$  currents are nearly diagonal, the coefficient in currents  $\bar{u}\mu, \bar{d}\mu, \bar{s}e \leq 0.1$ . This means that if the  $t$ -quark mass is in the limits mentioned, then the proton decays mainly by modes  $e^+\pi^0, \mu^+K^0$  etc.

If

$$m_t < 26.5 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for } \Lambda^2 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}^2$$

and

$$m_t < 28.6 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for } \Lambda^2 = 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2,$$

then the above situation, generally speaking, doesn't take place, and the dominant decay modes might be  $e^+K^0, \mu^+\pi^0$  etc.

Note also, that in all the cases considered, the Cabibbo and the other angles in KM matrix are small, of the order of 0.2-0.3\*.

In conclusion, we can say that our scheme allows to obtain interesting mass relations for charged leptons and quarks. All mixing angles in fermion currents are expressed through particle masses. Reasonable limits for  $b$ -quark mass are derived. Interesting predictions are obtained for  $t$ -quark mass, dependent on the probability of various modes of proton decay.

#### REFERENCES

1. Fritzsche H., Minkowsky P. Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons. - Ann.Phys., 1975, v.93, p.193-266.
2. Georgi H., Glashow S.L. Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces. - Phys. Rev.Lett., 1974, v.32, p.438-441.
3. Бережани З.Г., Чкареули Дж.Л. Массы бозонов Хиггса в моделях великого объединения: подавление нейтральных скалярных токов с изменением аромата. ЯФ, 1982, т.36, вып.2(б) с.513
4. Mohapatra R.N., Senjanovic G. Higgs Boson Effects in Grand Unified Theories. - Phys.Rev., 1983, v.27D, p.1601.
5. Georgi H., Nanopoulos D.V. Ordinary Predictions from Grand Principles:  $t$  Quark Mass in  $O(10)$ . - Nucl.Phys., 1979, v.B155, p.152.
6. Асатрян Г.М., Матинян С.Г. Масса  $t$ -кварка в схеме  $SO(10)$  - симметрии. ЯФ, 1980, т.32, с.630
7. Buras A., Ellis J., Gaillard M.K., Nanopoulos D.V. Aspects of the Grand Unification of Strong, Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions. - Nucl. Phys., 1978, v.135B, p.66-92.

The manuscript was received 17 January 1984

\* Ambiguities in phases do not allow one to obtain exact predictions for those angles.